



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,768	11/08/2001	Lance J. Gay	38-0013	1386
20457 7.	590 01/11/2006		EXAMINER	
	I, TERRY, STOUT & SEVENTEENTH STRI	HOSSAIN, FARZANA E		
SUITE 1800	SE VENTEENTH STRI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			2617	
	•			-

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/005,768	GAY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Farzana E. Hossain	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 No.</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 08 November 2001 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/005,768 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 4, 9 and 14 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims recite "substantially". The Office assumes "substantially" to be –substantially--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims are not directed to a statutory class. They are directed to commands or computer signals stored on a computer readable medium which the courts have held to be non statutory. The claims do not lead to a practical application, merely data on an article of manufacture.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2617

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-5, 7-10, 12-15, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kinney et al (US 5,808,662 and hereafter referred to as "Kinney").

Regarding Claim 1, Kinney discloses a method comprising: viewing at least one frame of a video file or movie at a first location (Figure 1, 105); viewing the at least one frame of the video file at a second location (Figure 1, 107, 109); and transmitting a command signal from the first location to the second location regarding a control operation of the video file (Figure 2, 2A, 2B, 2C).

Regarding Claim 8, Kinney discloses a method comprising: providing a video or movie on a first screen of a first system (Figure 1, 140); transmitting a command signal from the first system to a second system (Column 4, lines 41-49, Column 7, lines 1-5, Column 2, lines 15-30, Abstract); performing an operation corresponding to the transmitted command signal at the first system (Column 7, lines 1-14); and performing an operation corresponding to the transmitted command signal at the second system (Column 7, lines 1-14).

Regarding Claim 13, Kinney discloses a method comprising: displaying a video or movie on a first video screen (Figure 1, 105, 140, 120); displaying the video on a second video screen (Figure 1, 107, 109, 140, 120); simultaneously performing at least one operation on the first video screen and the second video screen by transmitting at least one command signal across a communications network (Figure 1, Column 4, lines 41-49, Column 7, lines 1-5, Column 2, lines 15-30, Abstract).

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding Claim 2, Kinney discloses all the limitations of Claim 1. Kinney discloses performing the control operation of the video file at the first location (Column 7, lines 1-14).

Page 4

Regarding Claim 3, Kinney discloses all the limitations of Claim 2. Kinney discloses performing the control operation of the video file at the second location (Column 7, lines 1-14).

Regarding Claims 4 and 9, Kinney discloses all the limitations of Claims 3 and 8 respectively. Kinney discloses that the control operation is performed at the first location substantially simultaneously as the control operation is performed at the second location (Column 4, lines 41-49, Column 7, lines 1-43, Column 2, lines 15-30, Abstract).

Regarding Claims 5, 10 and 15, Kinney discloses all the limitations of Claims 1, 8, and 13 respectively. Kinney discloses that the command signal comprises one of stop, play, forward, reverse and pause of the video file or movie (Column 4, lines 41-45, lines 50-55).

Regarding Claims 7, 12 and 17, Kinney discloses all the limitations of Claims 1, 8, and 13 respectively. Kinney discloses that the command signal comprises a frame number of the video file or a command signal comprises to advance to a particular frame in the movie (Column 5, lines 4-9, Column 4, lines 62-63).

Regarding Claim 14, Kinney discloses all the limitations of Claim 13. Kinney discloses that at least one operation is performed on the first video screen substantially simultaneously as the at least one operation is performed on the second video screen (Column 4, lines 41-49, Column 7, lines 1-43, Column 2, lines 15-30, Abstract).

Application/Control Number: 10/005,768 Page 5

Art Unit: 2617

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 18, 19, 21-23, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kinney.

Regarding Claim 18, Kinney discloses a program storage device or hardware (Column 6, lines 47-54) readable by machine (Figure 1, 105), the machine containing software (Column 6, lines 47-54) to perform a method comprising: transmitting a command signal from a first computer system to a second computer system regarding a first control operation of a video file or movie (Figure 1, Column 4, lines 41-49, Column 7, lines 1-5, Column 2, lines 15-30, Abstract); performing the first control operation on the first computer system (Column 7, lines 1-14); receiving a command signal from the second computer system regarding a second control operation of the video file or each participant in the playback session can receive a command signal for any other participant (Column 7, lines 1-14); and performing the second control operation on the first computer system (Column 7, lines 1-14). Kinney disclose a processing unit and transport control logic (Column 3, lines 60-64); the transport control logic or an application that allows participant to control, view and edit a movie (Column 4, lines 41-

Application/Control Number: 10/005,768

Art Unit: 2617

49). Kinney also discloses hardware and software can perform the functions of the invention which reads on tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable by the machine to perform a method. Kinney does not explicitly disclose a program storage device. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Kinney to explicitly disclose a program storage device embodying a program of instruction executable by the machine (Column 6, lines 47-54) in order to shave a convenient flexible system of movie playback of collaborative system for participants in remote locations (Column 1, lines 9-13, lines 57-67) as disclosed by Kinney.

Regarding Claim 22, Kinney discloses a computer system (Figure 1, 105) comprising at least one processing unit (Column 3, lines 60-61), at least a video display and hardware embodying software embodying a program of instructions executable by the processing unit to perform a method comprising (Column 6, lines 47-54): transmitting a command signal from a first computer system to a second computer system regarding a first control operation of a video file or movie (Figure 1, Column 4, lines 41-49, Column 7, lines 1-5, Column 2, lines 15-30, Abstract); performing the first control operation on the first computer system (Column 7, lines 1-14); receiving a command signal from the second computer system regarding a second control operation of the video file or each participant in the playback session can receive a command signal for any other participant (Column 7, lines 1-14); and performing the second control operation on the first computer system (Column 7, lines 1-14). Kinney disclose a processing unit and transport control logic (Column 3, lines 60-64); the

Application/Control Number: 10/005,768

Art Unit: 2617

transport control logic or an application that allows participant to control, view and edit a movie (Column 4, lines 41-49). Kinney also discloses hardware and software can perform the functions of the invention which reads on tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable by the machine to perform a method. Kinney does not explicitly disclose a program storage device. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Kinney to explicitly disclose a program storage device to tangibly embody a program of instructions executable by the processing unit (Column 6, lines 47-54) in order to shave a convenient flexible system of movie playback of collaborative system for participants in remote locations (Column 1, liens 9-13, lines 57-67) as disclosed by Kinney.

Page 7

Regarding Claims 19 and 23, Kinney discloses all the limitations of Claims 18 and 22 respectively. Kinney discloses that the command signal comprises one of stop, play, forward, reverse and pause of the video file or movie (Column 4, lines 41-45, lines 50-55).

Regarding Claims 21 and 25, Kinney discloses all the limitations of Claims 18 and 22 respectively. Kinney discloses that the command signal comprises a frame number of the video file or a command signal comprises to advance to a particular frame in the movie (Column 5, lines 4-9, Column 4, lines 62-63).

8. Claims 6, 11, 16, 20, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kinney in view of Pacifici et al (US 6,230,171 and hereafter referred to as "Pacifici").

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding Claims 6, 11, 16, 20, and 24, Kinney discloses all the limitations of Claims 1, 8, 13, 18, and 22 respectively. Kinney is silent of the command signal comprising a pointer coordinate position of a video screen. Pacifici discloses that the command signal comprises a pointer coordinate position of a video screen (Column 5, lines 58-61, Column 9, lines 45-54). Therefore it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Kinney in order to include that the command signal comprises a pointer coordinate position of a video screen representing specific coordinates of the video screen (Column 5, lines 58-61, Column 9, lines 45-54) as taught by Pacifici in order to allow peers to bring attention to markup section in a peer to peer multi party collaboration system in a web based system which allows users to more easily communicate (Column 1, lines 11-28, 49-52) as disclosed by Pacifici.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Aldred et al (US 5,649,105 and hereafter referred to as "Aldred")

Aldred discloses a collaborative system with participants communicating about shared data (Column 3, lines 17-43).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Farzana E. Hossain whose telephone number is 571-

Application/Control Number: 10/005,768

Art Unit: 2617

272-5943. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:00 am to

4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Kelley can be reached on 571-272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 5, 2006 FEH

CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 9